

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,220 Examiner CATHY K. WORLEY	RONEN ET AL. Art Unit 1638
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	

(1) CATHY K. WORLEY. (3) _____.

(2) Martin Moynihan. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11/17/08 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cathy K. Worley/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner left a telephone message with Martin Moynihan indicating that due to an error in the previous Examiner's Amendment, a new Examiner's Amendment would be sent. The Examiner had erroneously indicated that claims 18 and 19 were allowed, in the previous Examiner's Amendment, and they, in fact, should not have been allowed because they depended from claim 17 which was cancelled. Martin Moynihan returned the phone call and indicated that the Applicant had agreed to cancel claims 18 and 19, originally, therefore, this correction is approved.